

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/534,125	11/18/2005	Thorsten Mayer	R.304250	8479	
2119	7590 12/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RONALD E.	GREIGG		NGUYEN,	TU MINH	
*	REIGG P.L.L.C. TAN STREET, UNIT ONE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, VA 22314		3748		

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/534,125	MAYER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tu M. Nguyen	3748

• .,	LAUIIIII		
	Tu M. Nguyen	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	. :	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		: :	to outomaion foo
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complicing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	and the second state	91 4 1	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 29 would be allow	able if submitted in a separate, time	ely filed amendment o	canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II be entered and an ∢	explanation-of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	wided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 11-28 and 30.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			- 4 la 4 4
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	:	
10. <u> </u>			
	•	Tu M. N	guyen
·		Tu M. N 11/30/2	006

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of Krutzsch et al. and Akama et al. disclose or teach all of the features and limitations in dispute. For example, Krutzsch et al. indicate on lines 56-60 of column 2 that "Any arrangement for generating or storing hydrogen can be used as the hydrogen generator (6). Particularly for a use in motor vehicles, the electrolysis of water, the generating of cracked gas or the reforming of methanol can be used for this purpose." Therefore, Krutzsch et al. already teach or suggest the use of a raw fuel to generate a hydrogen gas. Such raw fuel (an engine fuel, for example) is already available in a vehicle powered by an internal combustion engine; and the use of such engine fuel along with a portion of an exhaust gas from the engine to produce hydrogen in a hydrogen generator is very conventional in the art as taught by Akama et al. (see paragraphs 0029-0030). In addition, Krutzsch et al. disclose that the hydrogen is also stored in the hydrogen generator (6) (see lines 56-57 of column 2). As such, the hydrogen generator (6) in Krutzsch et al. also has a function as an intermediate reservoir for storing hydrogen.